

No Security Marking



[Redacted]  
13/04/2005 13:32

To: "[Redacted]" <[Redacted]@Webershandwick.com>  
cc: [Redacted]/Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL, [Redacted]/Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL, [Redacted] Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL  
Fax to:  
Subject: Re: labour manifesto - pro nuke reference

Wow....good news indeed!



"[Redacted]" <[Redacted]@Webershandwick.com> on 13/04/2005 13:13:08

To: [Redacted]/Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL  
cc: [Redacted]/Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL, [Redacted]/Group Office/Risley/BNFL@BNFL, [Redacted]/R&T/Risley/BNFL@BNFL  
Fax to:  
Subject: labour manifesto - pro nuke reference

\*\*\*\*\* Message inserted by MIMESweeper \*\*\*\*\*

This email, received by bnfl.com has been virus scanned by MIMESweeper using DrSolomons TVD. Please treat all files received via the internet with extreme caution.

\*\*\*\*\*

Page 22 of the Labour manifesto, just published, states:

"We have reformed our energy markets to make them open and competitive. And we are a leading force in the campaign to make Europe's energy markets the same. Our wider energy policy has created a framework that places the challenge of climate change - as well as the need to achieve security of supply - at the heart of our energy policy. We have a major programme to promote renewable energy, as part of a strategy of having a mix of energy sources from nuclear power stations to clean coal to micro generators."

To me, at least, this is a step towards a declared pro-nuclear position. Part of Labour's strategy is to have a mix of energy sources, and nuclear is specifically mentioned as one of them.

<Attachment C.htm has been removed from this reply>