## Alex Burnett

From: Sent: To: Bridget Hargreave Friday, December 16, 2005 5:11 PM 'adam.scott@defra.gsi.gov.uk'

Adam,

as discussed, the statement below had been give to a couple of journalists in response to queries. It is not going out proactively.

Regards, Bridget

CoRWM has no position on the desirability or otherwise of nuclear new build. Our primary task is to recommend the best option, or combination of options, for long-term management of wastes which now exist, or which will inevitably be created, for example as a result of decommissioning. As our terms of reference require us to, we have carried out work to establish the waste implications of new build decisions as part of a wide-ranging scenario exercise to establish whether or not our options could accommodate new build wastes. The results of this investigation published at para. 18 of our Phase 2 report (document 1210) are that solutions for existing and unavoidable future wastes would also be robust in the light of all reasonably foreseeable developments in nuclear energy and waste management practices.

We believe that future Government decisions on new build should be subject to their own public assessment process, including consideration of waste, because such decisions raise different political and ethical issues when compared with the consideration of wastes which already exist. We have noted before that the prospect of a new nuclear programme might undermine support for CoRWM from some stakeholders and citizens and make it more difficult to achieve public confidence.

Bridget Hargreave Luther Pendragon Priory Court Pilgrim Street London EC4V 6DR

Tel +44 (0)20 7618 9100 Fax +44 (0)20 7329 7301 <http://www.luther.co.uk/>

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

This e-mail is confidential and is for the use of the intended addressee only. If you are not the intended addressee, you may not copy, disseminate or otherwise act on this e-mail or its contents; please contact the sender as soon as possible.