beingcroppedquestioned. As a result of this latter discussion, certain points of detail were spelt out and certain inconsistencies with the previous account established.

(a) the sessions with 4 or 5 in number, 5 would not remember exactly what happened. There were also some group sessions which were not covered here, but who was involved and who did what to whom, 5 would not remember. All such incidents ceased in 1966 after 4 covered it with the

(b) The sexual revelations, for what they are worth, reveal an already suspected weakness in his character. Whatever the truth of the matter - and we have to assume that this, at best, being evasive - it seems clear that 4 possessed of facts about 5 that, if given widespread dissemination, would cause grave embarrassment. Also, according to

4. There is thus little that can be done other than to record the facts of the relationship(s) as established, and to spell out who knows about them, of course, aware of the delicacy of his position.

5. On the question of having revealed his intelligence relationship to 4, 4 remained consistent arguing a slight oversight at this second meeting. All that would be further established is that he assumed that someone had told no one else but realised again his vulnerable position. Recognising the situation he had had a sexual relationship in the distant past with 4, he was how standing accused of homosexual related crimes. This discovery surprised both 4 and 5 and, at least for the time being, people, there was a close friendship with 4, even the possession of a physical relationship and told him about his relationship with the security forces. That 4 is aware of his vulnerability does not diminish the potential dangers.
lies only about his sexual relationships and that his other reporting can be relied upon. This notwithstanding two questions inevitably arise.

(a) if he is a regularly practising homosexual, how would that affect us.

(b) if he comes under pressure because of his previous indiscretions/ revelations, is there a risk that he would use his contact with us to our disadvantage. If so, may we do anything to anticipate this.

6. In our minds he should not be dropped.

(a) we will have a special need for his reporting.

(b) were he to be dropped we should lose all control and

(c) most importantly, there exists the possibility that, were we to drop him and were he to fall foul of the authorities, he might consider that we had let him down. He may then do, or say, something to our disadvantage.

7. We therefore wish to retain	but with the following alterations to procedure so that sensitivity is effectively tightened.

3. We are aware of the problems that may be caused whereby arrests, the case officer hopes to be able to cope with these. We are also aware that, may have been talking to the RUC and may have told them of his security connections. has not been officially declared to the RUC. We feel confident that our above suggestions incorporate the necessary prophylactic action at this stage.